

# Uploaded to VFC Website October 2012

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

### Veterans-For-Change

Veterans-For-Change is a 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation Tax ID #27-3820181

If Veteran's don't help Veteran's, who will?

We appreciate all donations to continue to provide information and services to Veterans and their families.

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\_s-xclick&hosted\_button\_id=WGT2M5UTB9A78

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members.



### **FACT SHEET**

Office of the Special Assistant to the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) for Gulf War Illnesses, Medical Readiness and Military Deployments

> For more information (703) 578 - 8500 (800) 497 - 6261

Version 09-13-2001

## Project Shipboard Hazard and Defense (SHAD) Copper Head

Project Shipboard Hazard and Defense (SHAD) was a program encompassing several tests undertaken in the 1960s to learn the vulnerabilities of US warships to an attack with chemical or biological warfare agents and develop procedures to respond to such an attack while maintaining a war-fighting capability.

Copper Head testing was similar to Autumn Gold testing in that the test used simulants only. The primary difference between Copper Head and Autumn Gold was Copper Head was designed to use simulants to learn biological agents' characteristics in frigid temperatures. Copper Head was conducted in international waters in the North Atlantic.

The crews who participated in Copper Head were not test subjects, but test conductors. Participants should have been fully informed of the details of each test. Before testing began, all persons involved in Copper Head should have received comprehensive biological and chemical agent training. Trial tests conducted before the actual test should have reinforced the training already received and ensured everyone involved knew their role in the test. The training program should have included training in these areas: using protective masks and clothing, medical training and immunizations, knowledge of chemical and biological agents and simulants, and knowledge of test procedures and processes. Under actual test conditions, test conductors should have worn appropriate nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) protective equipment and should have taken extensive safety precautions to prevent any adverse health effects from the testing.

Test Name	Copper Head (Test 65-1)
Testing Organization	US Army Deseret Test Center
Test Dates	January 24 through February 25, 1965
Test Location	Atlantic Ocean, off the coast of Newfoundland, Canada
Test Operations	Target ship was operated under three different readiness conditions:  A. Normal steaming conditions — full ventilation  B. Battle or near-battle condition  C. Chemical and biological attack expected
Participating Services	US Navy, US Marines, plus Deseret Test Center personnel
Units and Ships Involved	USS Power (DD-839)
Dissemination Procedures	Sprayed from A4B aircraft.
Dissemination Procedures	Dissemination in all trials was from E2-type nozzles with suitable pressurizing equipment.  Above canopy releases were made from a 32-meter tower using equipment and procedures similar to ground-release trials.
Agents, Simulants, Tracers	Bacillus globigii (BG) Serratia marcescens (SM) Escherichia coli Fluorescent particles (FP)
Ancillary Testing	Aero 14-B spray tank
Decontamination	Exterior: Not documented.  Interior: Betapropiolactone (b-Propiolactone).  Modern uses for b-propiolactone include vaccines, enzymes, tissue grafts, and surgical instruments; to sterilize blood plasma, water, milk, and nutrient broth; and as a vapor-phase disinfectant in enclosed spaces. Its sporicidal action kills vegetative bacteria, pathogenic fungi, and viruses. The primary routes of potential human exposure to b-propiolactone are inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact. There is

evidence b-propiolactone is a carcinogen. However, the results of animal testing in mice, rats, hamsters, and guinea pigs are questionable due to a lack of controls in the study. An International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) working group reported no data are available to evaluate the carcinogenicity of b-propiolactone in humans. (Source: Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health web site: http://ntp-server.niehs.nih.gov/htdocs/8\_RoC/RAC/betaPropiolactone.html.)

#### Agents, Simulants, Tracers

#### Bacillus globigii (BG).

Harmless to humans, BG is ubiquitous and easily found in samplings of wind-borne dust. BG is safely used in biological studies as a stand-in for pathogenic bacteria. BG is used as a biological tracer for anthrax because its particle size and dispersal characteristics are similar to those of anthrax. A household bleach and water solution easily kills BG.

Zinc Cadmium Sulfide (FP – fluorescent particle). Zinc cadmium sulfide is an inorganic compound. Although it is not a biologic weapon, it is used as a tracer to simulate biological weapons' dispersion in various environments. In the early 90's, in response to concerns about cancer and infertility, the National Research Council studied the compound's long-term effects. The Council's findings indicate zinc cadmium sulfide is not harmful to humans. It is a stable compound; strong acids dissolve it only slightly. Because zinc cadmium sulfide does not dissolve in water or fats, it is unlikely it can enter the body through cutaneous contact or inhalation. Cadmium is the most toxic element of the compound. Humans are exposed to cadmium naturally in water, air, food, soil and house dust. It enters the air from burned coal and household waste. There have been no studies on the toxic effects of

repeated exposure to zinc cadmium sulfide. The National Research Council devised a worst-case scenario: if exposure to zinc cadmium sulfide has the same effect as exposure to an equal amount of cadmium, repeated exposures to zinc cadmium sulfide could be toxic to kidneys and bones and cause lung cancer. Currently, no medical test determines exposure to zinc cadmium sulfide. However, tests are available to determine cadmium exposure. After 30 to 40 years it would be hard to identify people exposed or affected and determine their past exposures to zinc cadmium sulfide. (Source: National Academy Press, Toxicologic Assessment of the Army's Zinc Cadmium Sulfide Dispersion Tests, 1997, web site: http://books.nap.edu/books/0309057833/html/ R13.html#pagetop.)