

Uploaded to VFC Website

~ October 2012 ~

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

Veterans-For-Change

Veterans-For-Change is a 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation Tax ID #27-3820181

If Veteran's don't help Veteran's, who will?

We appreciate all donations to continue to provide information and services to Veterans and their families.

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd= s-xclick&hosted button id=WGT2M5UTB9A78

Note

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members.

item () Number	01638
Arther	Hobson, Lawrence B.
Corporate Author	
Report/Article Title	Form: Report of Contact, Fat Biopsies for Dioxin, March 18, 1980
Jeurnal/Book Title	
Year	0000
Month/Bay	
Color	
Number of Images	4
Descripton Notes	Discusses a telephone conversation between Hobson and a Mr. Schroeter (lawyer for Dow Chemical Co.) regarding the study.

	VA OFFICE	BEHTIFICATION HOS. (C, XC, SS, XSS
REPORT OF CONTACT	•	V, K, etc.)
NOTE: The form must be filled out in link or on typewriter, as it becomes a permanent record in vesirins' folders.	Central Office	
LAST HAME -PRST HAME -MODILE HAME OF VETERAM (Type or print)		DATE OF CONTACT
Mr. Schroeter	· ·	3/18/80
ADDRESS OF VERBOAN	*	TELEPHONE NO. OF VETERAN
PERSON CONTACTED	·	TYPE OF CONTACT (Check) PERSONAL TELEPHONE
ADDRESS OF PERSON CONTACTED		TELEPHONE NO. OF PERSON CONTACTED
4		
new statement of emporatation requested and given		
SUBJ: Fat Biopsies for Dioxin		
about the fat biopsy study of Agent Or the press releases claiming that the f of the stand taken by DOWY2,4,5-T. He bio assay had been released and I told Administrator had promised the congres study until it had been reviewed by th other groups. He asked me what conclusions we had re the fact that there were individuals w who had not been in Vietnam who had ve There were also individuals who claime not been in Vietnam who had no dectable as he had understood the situation and they were released. I told him that I the press and that he could obtain not he asked whether he could call me after certainly. No further action is needed.	at biopsy completely asked me whether the him that they had no sional committees no e National Academy of vealed and I said the ho had been in Vietname TCDD. He said this asked how he could was certain that the ice there about as rithe data had been in the data had been in the country the data had been in the data had been	invalidated some e figures of the ot and that the t to release the f Sciences and some at we had made public am and individuals TCDD in their fat. m and some who had s was pretty much obtain the data when ey would be given to apidly as elsewhere.
		•
- 10 Page 1		
ਵੀਂ ਵ	,	
	i i	y .
	· ·	
DIVISION OR SECTION	EXECUTED AY (Signature and sing)	// m D
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	Deputy ACMD for Rese	earch & Development

VA FORM 119 The second of th

±U.5. G.P.O. 1978-261-149/1538

и			Concentration TCDD				
Subject	Exposure*	TCDD Presence	Gross	I	Gross II**	EPA (Gross. (; extract)	EPA #2)
Vietnam Servi	ice	-		4>		,	
1		_ ****	RA	$\{\frac{1}{5}\}$)	:		
6		_ ****	5 -	(3)	2 nd		
8		_ ***	5 -	(3)	2 nd	3 (0.6)	5
9		, ***	nd nd nd	(12) (3) (4)	- - 1	3 (1)	160
10		+	12 16 35 23	(2) (4) (9) (4)	- 21 17	36 (3)	230
11		+	3 -	(2)	2 1		
12		+	9	(3)	13		
13		-	nd nd	(2) (2)	-	nd (0.2)	nd
14		±	4	(3)	4		
1.5		+	7	(4)	8		
16	,	-	nd nd	(4) (8)	-		
19		-		(15) (3)	-		
24		+	5	{ 3 }	3		
25		+		(4) (3)	11		
26		+	63 96	(6) (10)	100		173

			Concentration TCDD				
Subject	Exposure*	TCDD Presence	Gross	I	Gross II **	EPA (Gross extra)	EPA (#2)
Vietnam Servi	ce (Continued)						
27		-	nd nd	(11) (6)	nd		
28		+	8 7	(6) (5)	3		
29		+	nd	(6) (5)	8		
30				(4) (3)	nd		
34	•	+	4 5	(4) (3)	5		
Ranch Hand							
2		+	5	(2)	4		
3		_ ***	4	(1)	2	3	10
4		+	6	(6)	4		
Non-Vietnam -	no exposure						
5		***	9 4	(5) (4)	6 5		
7		_ ****	3 -	(2)	2 nd		
17		±	3 4	(3) (3)	2 3		20
18		-	nd	(4)	-	5	8
20		, +		(4)	5		
21		_ ***	6	(3)	2	3	12
23		+	6 8	(3) (2)	8		
31		_ ***	4 7	(2) (4)	3		nd(3)
32		±	nd 4	(5) (4)	5		
33		***	7 14	(6) (7)	5		

- * Exposure as judged by veteran's statements
- ** Via the absolute intensity of 322
- *** Cannot be considered positive because of poor validation (substance detected probably not TCDD)
- **** Cannot be considered positive because of poor validation and contaminant removed on repeat of analysis

TCDD presence (+) or absence (-) evaluated by Dr. Gross;

- + indicates + if evaluated as 2.5:1 signal to noise ratio
- if evaluated as 3:1 ratio

Parenthetic figures are detection limits of individual assay