

Uploaded to the VFC Website

This Document has been provided to you courtesy of Veterans-For-Change!

Feel free to pass to any veteran who might be able to use this information!

For thousands more files like this and hundreds of links to useful information, and hundreds of "Frequently Asked Questions, please go to:

Veterans-For-Change

If Veterans don't help Veterans, who will?

Note:

VFC is not liable for source information in this document, it is merely provided as a courtesy to our members & subscribers.



Section C. Decision Review Officer (DRO) Review Process

Overview

Topic	Topic Name	See Page
10	Overview of the DRO Review Process	5-C-2
11	DRO Duties and Responsibilities	5-C-3
12	DRO Jurisdiction and Authority	5-C-7
13	De Novo Review	5-C-11
14	Informal Conferences	5-C-16
15	Making the Decision	5-C-19
16	Exhibit 1: Informal Conference Report	5-C-23
17	Exhibit 2: Appeal Response Form	5-C-24

In this Section

10. Overview of the DRO Review Process

Change Date	Decembe	er 9, 2004
a. DRO Review Process	The table below describes the stages of the Decision Review Officer (DRO) review process.	
	Stage	Description
	1	The appellant elects the DRO review process.
	2	The DRO conducts a <i>de novo</i> review of the prior decision.
		<i>Reference:</i> For more information on <i>de novo</i> review, see <u>M21-</u> <u>1MR</u> , Part I, 5.C.13.
	3	Based on a review of the evidence of record, is there enough evidence to make a new decision?
		 If yes, the DRO makes a new decision. If no, the DRO
		 pursues additional evidence considered necessary to resolve the claim, and/or
		 – conducts an informal conference to obtain additional evidence from the appellant and his/her representative.
	4	Based on evidence gathered, the DRO
		• upholds or overturns the original decision
		• works with the appellant and his/her representative to – focus the issue, and
		 fully explain the decision in an effort to resolve the appellant's disagreement, and
		• begins to prepare the appeal for BVA review by sending an SOC, unless there is a full grant of benefits.
		<i>Reference</i> : For more information on sending an SOC, see <u>M21-</u> <u>1MR, Part I, 5.D.</u>

Introduction	This topi	c contains information on DRO duties and responsibilities, including	
		ition of <i>Decision Review Officer</i>	
	• the DR		
	• the Vet	terans Service Center Manager (VSCM) duties	
	• the DR	O work measurement responsibilities	
	• which	work measurement codes to take, and	
	• the acti	ing DRO.	
Change Date	August 1	9, 2005	
a. Definition: Decision Review Officer	responsil	<i>ision Review Officer (DRO)</i> is a senior technical expert who is one for holding post-decisional hearings and processing appeals. The by have jurisdiction of any appeal.	
b. DRO Duties The table below li		e below lists the duties of a DRO.	
	Notes:		
	supervi	RO is a member of the Appeals Team but is under the direct ision of the Veterans Service Center Manager (VSCM) or assistant . The Appeals Team Coach may assign work to the DRO.	
		mposition of the local appeals team may vary. At some ROs, the nay consist of only DROs, while at others, it may include	
	– DROs		
	– RVSRs		
	– VSRs, and		
	– Clain	ns Assistants.	
	Duty	Description	
	1	Hold informal conferences and formal hearings.	
	2	Evaluate the evidence of record including the need for additional	
		evidence as a result of information obtained during the hearing.	
	3	Make a decision.	

Make direct contact with appellants and their representatives.

11. DRO Duties and Responsibilities

4

11. DRO Duties and Responsibilities, Continued

b. DRO Duties (continued)

Duty	Description
5	Provide feedback to each Rating Veterans Service Representative (RVSR) as to the cases handled and appealed without regard to whether the decision was
	• upheld
	• reversed, or
	• modified.
6	Provide feedback to local management about
	• trends
	• general quality, and
	• areas in need of training.
7	Work together with station and service center management and staff to develop consistency and accuracy in first-line decision making.
8	Perform Master Rating Specialist duties, including
	• acting as a resource for other employees, and
	• directing management of the appellate workload.
9	Play a central role in employee development, including
	• mentoring new rating specialists
	• participating in the training of RVSRs
	• coordinating training opportunities with BVA and local medical centers, and
	• providing feedback to Compensation and Pension (C&P) managers at all levels.
10	• Certify appeals prior to transfer to BVA, and
	• coordinate the transfer of appeals to BVA.

11. DRO Duties and Responsibilities, Continued

c. VSCM Duties	The VSCM (or assistant VSCM)
	 supervises the DRO may exercise all duties and authorities of the DRO assigns duties that are appropriate to the DRO's grade level and position, as time allows, provided such duties do not conflict with the DRO's status as an impartial and independent decision-maker appoints acting DROs during the temporary absence or disqualification of the DRO, and assigns a rating or authorization panel, whose members did not participate in the decision, to hold a personal hearing in cases where the traditional appellate review process has been elected by the appellant, and unusual or emergency circumstances.
d. DRO Work Measurement Responsibilities	 The DRO maintains an accurate record of the actual hours spent performing DRO duties at different regional offices (ROs), should the need arise, and prepares a report for the VSCM or Appeals Team coach at the RO where the service was performed. <i>Note</i>: ROs borrow or loan the corresponding amount of time. Charge the DRO's time against the cost center for the rating activity.

11. DRO Duties and Responsibilities, Continued

e. Which Work Use the table below to determine which work measurement codes to take.Measurement Codes to Take Note: Complete EP credit continues to be recorded by the RO having

Note: Complete EP credit continues to be recorded by the RO having jurisdiction of the claim. Maintain these reports under RCS VB-1, Part 1, Item 13-005.000.

Reference: For more information on which EP credit to take, see <u>M21-4</u>, <u>Appendix C</u>.

If the DRO or VSR	Then he/she takes EP code
prepares an SOC only	172.
holds an informal conference which results in the withdrawal of the	173.
appeal	<i>Note</i> : Annotate the informal
	conference report when taking the
	EP.
• conducts a <i>de novo</i> review and issues a decision	174.
• prepares a clear and unmistakable error (CUE) decision, and/or	
holds a traditional hearing	

f. Acting DRO When the DRO is temporarily absent or disqualified because he/she participated in the decision under review, the VSCM of the RO where the hearing is scheduled appoints an acting DRO.

The acting DRO

- shall have considerable understanding of the issue that is the subject of the hearing
- shall not be less than a GS-12, except in extraordinary circumstances, and
- cannot have participated in the decision being reviewed.

Introduction	This topic contains information on DRO jurisdiction and authority, including
	 the DRO's jurisdiction over appellant issues, and subordinate issues issues not under the jurisdiction of the DRO the jurisdiction of the visiting DRO the DRO's decisional authority the DRO's lack of authority in subsequent hearing requests how the DRO is bound by a BVA decision, and how DRO bargaining is prohibited.
Change Date	June 19, 2006
a. DRO Jurisdiction Over Appellant Issues	Once the DRO assumes jurisdiction of a case, he/she works in partnership with the appellant and representative to resolve all issues covered by the NOD in accordance with the laws and facts in that particular case. The appeal remains with the DRO until it is forwarded to BVA.
	The DRO has jurisdiction over a rating issue that the appellant raises during the hearing provided the issue was part of the rating decision being appealed that is the subject of the formal hearing or informal conference.
	 <i>Notes</i>: The DRO has <i>de novo</i> review jurisdiction only over appeals for benefits governed by <u>38 CFR Part 3</u>, and <u>38 CFR Part 4</u>
	• limited jurisdiction over a rating issue raised during an informal conference or formal hearing, provided the issue was part of the rating decision that is the subject of the hearing, and
	no jurisdiction over an appeal on a rating decision made by the DRO him/herself.

12. DRO Jurisdiction and Authority

12. DRO Jurisdiction and Authority, Continued

b. DRO Jurisdiction Over	When an issue is favorably decided, the DRO assumes jurisdiction over any subordinate issues, including
Subordinate Issues	 evaluation and effective date, and any inferred or ancillary issues that are encompassed by that favorable decision.
	<i>Reference</i> : For more information on inferred or ancillary issues, see • <u>M21-1MR</u> , Part III, Subpart iv, 6.B.3, and
	• <u>M21-1MR, Part IX, Subpart i.</u>
c. Issues Not Under the	The DRO does <i>not</i> have jurisdiction over
Jurisdiction of the DRO	 Committee on Waivers and Compromises (COWC) issues loan guaranty
	• insurance, and
	 hearing requests concerning a denial of benefits from a medical determination rendered by a Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) medical activity for clothing allowance
	 automobile and adaptive equipment, and specially adapted housing.
d. Jurisdiction of the Visiting DRO	If the DRO at the host office participated in the decision being reviewed, a visiting DRO may be requested to hold hearings or conduct <i>de novo</i> review. The visiting DRO will render a decision in such claims, but not maintain jurisdiction of the appeal.
	However, the VSCM at each RO has the authority to grant the issue on appeal based on a <i>de novo</i> review or CUE without referral to the visiting DRO. The VSCM is not permitted to delegate this authority to anyone else.
	<i>Note</i> : Submit a written request to C&P Service when a specific delegation of this authority is necessary.

12. DRO Jurisdiction and Authority, Continued

e. DRO Decisional	The DRO may
Authority	 amend, reverse, or modify a decision based on <i>de novo</i> review amend, reverse, or modify a decision based upon new evidence, or exercise single signature CUE authority.
	 <i>Exceptions</i>: Unless a CUE exists, the DRO cannot revise the decision in a manner that is less advantageous to the appellant than the decision under review. A decision in which CUE is cited requires the signature of the VSCM if the decision would reduce a service-connected evaluation(s), or sever service connection for a disability(ies).
	<i>Note</i> : The VSCM's signature is required on the rating even if the reduction or severance based on a CUE would not cause a reduction or termination of total benefits paid.
	<i>Reference</i> : For more information on DRO decisional authority, see <u>38 CFR</u> <u>3.2600</u> .
f. No DRO Authority in Subsequent	The DRO has <i>no</i> authority to participate in a formal hearing if he/she participated in the decision under appeal.
Hearing Request	<i>Example</i> : If the DRO makes a new decision based on <i>de novo</i> review and the appellant subsequently requests a formal hearing, the DRO does not have authority to conduct the formal hearing.
	Reference : For more information on the DRO not having authority in subsequent hearing requests, see <u>38 CFR 3.103(c)(1)</u> .
g. DRO Bound by BVA Decision	In the absence of new and material evidence, the DRO is bound to follow a decision of BVA in an individual claim and <i>cannot</i> recommend a change based on <i>de novo</i> review authority.

12. DRO Jurisdiction and Authority, Continued

h. DRO A DRO cannot make a bargain with an appellant or his/her representative by requesting or requiring him/her to withdraw a claim or take any action in exchange for the granting of any benefit.

Example: A DRO tells an appellant's representative that she will grant a 50-percent evaluation for PTSD if the appellant withdraws the claim for secondary service connection for hypertension.

A DRO is *not* prohibited, however, from discussing the lack of merit in any particular case or from encouraging the claimant or his/her representative to withdraw a meritless appeal.

Introduction	This topic contains information on a <i>de novo</i> review, including
	• definition of a <i>de novo</i> review
	• who may receive a <i>de novo</i> review
	• who conducts a <i>de novo</i> review
	• what may be reviewed
	• <i>de novo</i> review of contested claims, and
	• responding to a CUE.
Change Date	March 28, 2011
a. Definition: <i>De Novo</i> Review	A <i>de novo</i> review is a new and complete review of the appealed issue with no deference given to the decision being appealed. This review leads to a new decision, which may be a full grant, partial grant, CUE, or no change.
	<i>Reference</i> : For more information on <i>de novo</i> review, see <u>38 CFR 3.2600</u> .
b. Who May Receive a De	An appellant has a right to <i>de novo</i> review of his/her claim if he/she
Novo Review	• files a timely notice of disagreement (NOD) with the decision of an agency of original jurisdiction on a benefit claim, and
	• requests the DRO review process/ <i>de novo</i> review no later than 60 days after the date of the notice sent informing the appellant of his/her right to <i>de novo</i> review.
	Notes:
	• The 60-day time limit <i>cannot</i> be extended.
	• An appellant <i>cannot</i> have more than one <i>de novo</i> review of his/her claim.
	Continued on next page

13. De Novo Review

c. Who Conducts a <i>De</i> <i>Novo</i> Review	At VA discretion, the <i>de novo</i> review is conducted by the
	VSCM, orDRO.
	The DRO has de novo review authority over post-decisional claims.
	<i>Note</i> : Only an individual who did <i>not</i> participate in the original decision being appealed may conduct this review.
	 <i>References</i>: For more information on who conducts a <i>de novo</i> review, see <u>38 CFR 3.2600</u> DRO jurisdiction, see <u>M21-1MR, Part I, 5.C.12</u> acting DROs, see <u>M21-1MR, Part I, 5.C.11.f</u>, and visiting DROs, see <u>M21-1MR, Part I, 5.C.12.d</u>
d. What May Be Reviewed	Review only those decisions that have not become final by • appellate decision, or • failure to timely appeal.
	The review will encompass only the decision with which the appellant has expressed disagreement in the NOD.

e. De Novo	The DRO or VSCM designee conducts one hearing or <i>de novo</i> review for
Review of	each of the different appellants in contested claims.
Contested	
Claims	In some cases, the appellant requests a hearing or <i>de novo</i> review but does not live in the same jurisdiction as the office having custody of the claims folder.

The table below describes the process for reviewing contested claims when the appellant does not live in the same jurisdiction as the office having custody of the claims folder.

Stage	Who Is Responsible	Description
1	DRO/VSCM at RO closest to the appellant's residence	 Holds a hearing prepares a transcript, and sends a transcript to the DRO/VSCM at the RO with jurisdiction over the claims folder.
2	DRO/VSCM with jurisdiction over the claims folder	 Reviews the transcript, and makes a decision.

Use the table below to respond to a CUE f. Responding

to a CUE

Use the table below	to respond to a CUE.

If	Then
a DRO	• the DRO and VSCM (or Assistant VSCM) sign the decision, and
• finds a CUE on a prior decision	• the person who prepared the
• prepares a decision that proposes to	original decision gets a copy of the decision.
 reduce a service-connected evaluation, or 	
 sever service connection for a disability, and 	
• the VSCM agrees	
a DRO	• the VSCM states his/her disagreement on the decision
• finds a CUE on a prior decision	• the DRO prepares another decision
• prepares a decision that would	affirming the issue in question,
– reduce a service-connected	and
evaluation, or	• both documents are filed in the
 sever service connection for a disability, and 	claims folder.
• the VSCM does <i>not</i> agree	
a DRO	• the DRO signs the decision, and
• finds a CUE on a prior decision, and	• the person who prepared the original decision gets a copy of the decision.
 prepares a decision that would <i>not</i> reduce a service-connected evaluation, or 	
 sever service connection for a disability 	
• an RVSR	• the RVSR and VSCM sign the
– believes there is a CUE, and	decision, and
– prepares a decision, and	• the person who prepared the
• the VSCM agrees	original decision gets a copy of the <i>revised</i> decision.

f. Responding to a CUE (continued)

If	Then
 an RVSR believes there is a CUE, and prepares a decision, and the VSCM disagrees 	 the VSCM states his/her disagreement on the decision the RVSR prepares another decision affirming the issue in questions, and both documents are filed in the claims folder.

Important:

- If the CUE involves a rating issue, the DRO or RVSR must annotate the rating decision with a certificate of error.
- The final decision reducing the evaluation or severing service connection does not require the signature and approval of the VSCM or Assistant VSCM *unless* new evidence has been received since the proposed decision was approved.

Reference: For more information on CUE, see <u>M21-1MR</u>, Part III, Subpart iv. 2.B.7.

14. Informal Conferences

Introduction	This topic contains information on an informal conference, including
	 definition of an informal conference the purpose of an informal conference when to schedule and conduct an informal conference requesting, canceling, or rescheduling an informal conference where and how to conduct an informal conference
	 where and now to conduct an informal conference who may attend an informal conference
	• presenting evidence during an informal conference
	• the Informal Conference Report, and
	 handling new issues raised during an informal conference.
Change Date	March 28, 2011
a. Definition: Informal Conference	An <i>informal conference</i> is a tool available to the DRO and other Veterans Service Center (VSC) personnel during the DRO review process to ensure that
	• all parties understand the issue(s) pending review
	• the issues are focused and clarified, and
	• the record is fully developed.
	An oath or affirmation is <i>not</i> used for an informal conference.
	<i>Note</i> : While informal conferences are <i>not</i> part the traditional appellate review process, direct communication with the Veteran and his/her representative is not precluded in these cases and should be initiated in order to facilitate resolution or clarification about matters on appeal.

b. Purpose of an Informal Conference	 The purpose of an informal conference is to clarify the issues the appellant wishes to appeal provide explanations, and identify additional sources of pertinent information.
c. When to Schedule and Conduct an Informal Conference	Informal conferences are scheduled and conducted at the discretion of the DRO.
d. Requesting, Canceling or Rescheduling an Informal Conference	A claimant may request, cancel or reschedule an informal conference in writing, by e-mail, by fax, by telephone, or in person. If this is done by telephone or in person, the DRO or employee receiving the request should promptly complete a <i>VA Form 21-0820, Report of General Information</i> , to document the request.
e. Where and How to Conduct an Informal Conference	 Conduct an informal conference in person at the RO of jurisdiction, or nearest to the appellant's residence by telephone, or by videoconference. Informal conferences may be conducted in work areas as long as all participants agree on the location.

14. Informal Conferences, Continued

14. Informal Conferences, Continued

f. Who May Attend an Informal Conference	 The appellant and his/her representative may attend an informal conference at their discretion. <i>Note</i>: If the appellant's representative is an attorney, emphasize the informality of the conference that rules of evidence do <i>not</i> apply, and that leading questions are permissible.
g. Presenting Evidence During an Informal Conference	 During an informal conference, the appellant or his/her representative may introduce evidence into the record, and make arguments and contentions with respect to the facts and applicable law.
h. Informal Conference Report	 Use the <i>Informal Conference Report</i> to document the informal conference, and describe all the issues in detail (<i>Example</i>: The Veteran seeks a rating increase from 50 percent to 70 percent for post-traumatic stress disorder.) specific additional evidence required a summary of the discussion during the informal conference, and the course of action agreed upon by the parties. <i>Note</i>: The <i>Informal Conference Report</i> should be placed in the claims folder. <i>Reference</i>: For a sample of the <i>Informal Conference Report</i>, see M21-1MR, Part 1, 5.C.16.
i. Handling New Issues Raised During an Informal Conference	If a new issue is raised during the informal conference and a decision on that issue has not been made, refer it to the appropriate activity for development and a decision.

Introduction	This topic contains information on making the decision, including
	• the decision format requirements
	• the VSCM's responsibility for the quality of the DRO's decision
	• the decision to
	– award full benefits
	– award partial benefits, and
	– uphold the previous decision
	• implementing the decision, and
	• the appellant withdrawing the NOD.
Change Date	March 28, 2011
a. Decision Format Requirements	Consider the <i>Informal Conference Report</i> when making a new decision. DRO decisions, which are either a new rating decision, SOC, or supplemental statement of the case (SSOC), must identify all the issues and include a
	• summary of the evidence
	• citation of pertinent laws
	• discussion of how those laws affect the decision, and
	• summary of the reasons for the decision.
	<i>Reference</i> : For a sample of the <i>Informal Conference Report</i> , see <u>M21-1MR</u> , <u>Part I, 5.C.16</u> .

15. Making the Decision

15. Making the Decision, Continued

b. VSCM's Responsibility for the Quality of the DRO's Decision	The VSCM is responsible for the quality of decisions in the VSC. This responsibility extends to ensuring that DROs properly apply all laws, regulations, and instructions to decisions rendered.
Decision	In some cases, where the VSCM disagrees with the substantive decision of a DRO, the VSCM may
	 request reconsideration but <i>not</i> direct a change in the decision, or seek an advisory opinion, administrative review, or administrative appeal.
	<i>Exception</i> : The VSCM has the authority to direct a change in the decision of a DRO when CUE is cited and the decision would
	 reduce a service-connected evaluation(s), or sever service connection for a disability(ies).
	 <i>References</i>: For more information on advisory opinions, see <u>M21-1MR</u>, Part III, Subpart vi, 1.A.2 administrative reviews, see <u>M21-1</u>, Part III, Subpart vi, 1.A.3 administrative appeals, see <u>M21-1MR</u>, Part I, 5.J.50, and responding to a CUE, see <u>M21-1MR</u>, Part I, 5.C.13.f.
c. Decision to Award Full	If all benefits sought are awarded for the entire period covered by the appeal
Benefits	• consider the appeal resolved
	• advise the appellant, and
	• update Veterans Appeals Control and Locator System (VACOLS).
	Because the DRO has jurisdiction over all aspects of the issue, the Reasons for Decision section of the new rating decision must be comprehensive and include a discussion of evaluations and effective dates as necessary.
	The decision must include a statement that this is an award of all benefits sought on appeal and that the appeal is therefore considered satisfied in full.
	<i>Note</i> : When service connection is the issue under appeal, a grant of service connection, regardless of the evaluation, satisfies the appeal in full.
	<i>Reference</i> : For more information on appeals, see <u>38 CFR 3.2600</u> , <i>Review of Benefit Claims Decisions</i> .

d. Decision to Award Partial Benefits	The DRO may make a decision that awards the benefit in part but which <i>may</i> still require an SOC/SSOC.
	In this case, the DRO
	 sends the appellant the new rating decision an SOC/SSOC, and the Appeal Response form, and makes every attempt to contact the appellant and the representative directly to explain his/her decision and the options available.
	<i>Note</i> : If the appellant withdraws the appeal, for example during an informal conference, the DRO does not have to send the appellant an SOC. In cases where the conference is conducted by telephone, written confirmation of the withdrawal must be made.
	<i>Example 1</i> : A Veteran files an NOD with a decision denying increased rating for a knee condition. After a review of the record, the DRO decides to award a partial rating increase. The DRO prepares a
	decision that will implement the rating increase, andSOC.
	<i>Note</i> : The SOC is required unless the appellant has withdrawn the appeal.
	 <i>Example 2</i>: A Veteran files NODs with two decisions. The DRO decides to grant one of the claims, but deny the other. The DRO prepares a decision that will implement the award, and SOC for the claim that was denied.
	References:
	• For a sample of the <i>Appeal Response</i> form, see <u>M21-1MR, Part I, 5.C.17</u> , and
	• For more information on sending an SOC, see <u>M21-1MR, Part I, 5.D</u> .
	Continued on next page

15. Making the Decision, Continued

15. Making the Decision, Continued

e. Decision to Uphold	If the DRO confirms the previous decision, he/she sends
Previous Decision	 an SOC confirming the decision on appeal and explaining the reasons and bases for the VA decision, and VA Form 9, Appeal to Board of Veterans' Appeals, to the appellant.
	<i>Reference</i> : For more information on sending an SOC, see <u>M21-1MR</u> , Part I, <u>5.D.20</u> .
f. Implementing	The DRO routes the decision to the appropriate activity.
the Decision	A DRO's decision is final and binding on all ROs and is not subject to revision on the same factual basis, except by BVA or as provided under $\underline{38}$ <u>CFR 3.105(a)</u> .
g. Appellant Withdrawing NOD	When an appellant calls the DRO to indicate satisfaction with the decision and a desire to withdraw his/her NOD, the DRO
	 explains VA's need to obtain written confirmation of the withdrawal, and informs the appellant that an SOC/SSOC will be sent if written confirmation is not received in ten business days.
	If the DRO does not receive written confirmation within a reasonable period of time, such as ten business days, he/she issues an SOC, if he/she has not already done so.
	<i>Note</i> : An appellant and/or his/her representative may withdraw an appeal at any time, subject to the restrictions of <u>38 CFR 20.204</u> .
	<i>Reference</i> : For more information on withdrawing an NOD, see <u>M21-1MR</u> , <u>Part I, 5.A.2</u> .

Change Date	August 4, 2009
a. Informal Conference Report	An example of an <i>Informal Conference Report</i> is below.

16.	Exhibit 1:	Informal Conference F	Report
-----	------------	-----------------------	--------

INFO	AL CONFERENCE REPORT
DATE:	
VA OFFICE:	
CLAIM NUMBER:	
CLAIMANT'S NAME:	
TELEPHONE NUMBER:	
PERSON CONTACTED:	
SSUE(S):	
ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE REQUESTED:	
SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION:	
AGREED UPON ACTION(S):	
SIGNATURE(S):	
DRO	DATE

17. Exhibit 2: Appeal Response Form

Change Date August 4, 2009

a. Appeal A sample of the *Appeal Response* form is below. **Response Form**

APPEAL RESPONSE

Appeal Response In the Case of [name] Claim Number: [date of cover letter]

I have reviewed the recent VA decision and chose the following:

□ The VA decision satisfies my appeal on all issues. I withdraw my appeal.

The VA decision satisfies my appeal only on the following issues:

Please remember to sign this document before returning it to the VA Regional Office in the enclosed selfaddressed return envelope.

Signature

Date